Appendix 2

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO)

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE (Refer to guidance note for definitions)

Surveyor:		M Harrison	Date:	29/09/11	Species:	1 no. Apple			
Location:		Plemont, School Lane North Newington							
TPO	Ref (if a	applicable):		Tree/Group No:		Owner (if known)):		
Part	1: Amer	nity assessment							
a) Condition & suitability for TPO (Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only)									
	5) Goo	od Highly suitable					Notes		
Х	,	Suitable							
	1) Poo	r Unlikely to be su	uitable						
	0) Dea	d Unsuitable							
	0) Dyir	ng/dangerous* Un	suitable						
							Sub Total	3	
b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO (Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality)									
	5) 100	+ Highly suitable					Notes		
	•	100 Very suitable					The tree has sufficient room at the presentime. Size may become an issue in time		
Χ	2) 20-4	10 Suitable					this can be addressed by minor pruning.		
	•	20 Just suitable							
	0) <10	* Unsuitable							
							Sub Total	2	
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO - Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use									
	5) Ver	y large trees with	some visibil	ity, or prominen	t large trees H	ighly suitable	Notes		
		ge trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable					The tree Is clearly visible from the adjacent properties but only with difficulty from the		
	, ,	lium trees, or larg		-	-		public highway.	6	
Х	•	_			-	culty Barely suitable			
	•	es not visible to th		_	-	•			
	.,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9			Sub Total	2	
d) Other factors - Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify									
				•		,	Notes		
	•	cipal components					Notes		
	,	e groups, or mem	•	•					
	3) Tree	es with identifiable	e historic, co	ommemorative of	or habitat impo	rtance			
	2) Tree	es of particularly o	good form, e	specially if rare	or unusual				
X	1) Tree	es with none of the	e above ado	ditional redeemi	ng features				
							Sub Total	1	
Part	2: Expe	diency assessme	nt - <i>Trees m</i>	nust have accrue	ed 9 or more p	oints to qualify			
X	5) Imm	nediate threat to tr	ree				Notes Conservation notification area notification	on of	
	,	eseeable threat to					removal.	<i>/// U/</i>	
	,	ceived threat to tr	ee						
	1) Pred	cautionary only							
4.4		10 D ::					Sub Total	5	
1.1 Part 3: Decision guide 1. De not apply TPO 1.6 TPO indefensible 7.10 December TPO 11.14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely marity TPO									
0 - Do not apply TPO 1-6 TPO indefensible 7-10 Does not merit TPO 11-14 TPO defensible 15+ Definitely merits TPO									
Total Score Decision: TPO defensible									
Com	nments								
									